

**PUNJAB STATE ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
SITE NO. 3, BLOCK B, SECTOR 18-A MADHYA MARG, CHANDIGARH

**Petition No. 15 of 2024**  
**Date of Hearing: 08.05.2024**  
**Date of Order: 13.05.2024**

Regarding Petition for the approval of Revised Scope/Cost of the works already approved in MYT 2023-26 and for the inclusion of New Work of Urgent Nature (Capital works) in the 3<sup>rd</sup> MYT Control Period FY 2023-24 to FY 2025-26 under Regulation-9 of PSERC (Terms and Conditions for Determination of Generation, Transmission, wheeling and Retail Supply Tariff) Regulation, 2022.

And

In the matter of: Punjab State Transmission Corporation Limited. Regd. Office: PSEB  
H.O. The Mall, Patiala.

...Petitioner

Commission: Sh. Viswajeet Khanna, Chairperson  
Sh. Paramjeet Singh, Member

PSTCL: Sh. Vikas, CAO  
Ms. Simarjeet Kaur, EIC  
Sh. Bansal, ASE

## **ORDER**

The petition was taken up for hearing on admission. PSTCL has filed supplementary submissions vide memo no. 19 dated 28.03.2024 which are taken on record. The Commission observed that PSTCL has sought approval of additional capital investment of around Rs. 219 Crore citing most of the works as emergent nature. PSTCL to explain that why so many works of emergent nature have not been included in the Capital Investment Plan already approved by the Commission vide Order dated 21.12.2022 in Petition No. 50 of 2022. PSTCL is also directed to reply the following observations of the Commission:

- i. Amount sought in the tables mentioned in the petition is more than the estimated cost of works mentioned in agendas enclosed with petition. PSTCL to explain the difference.
- ii. In petition, the CIP in respect of 220kV Sahnewal and 220kV Amluh has been shown as Rs. 13.23 Crore and Rs. 3.10 Crore for the year 2020-23 and 2023-26

respectively whereas in summary table 5 the same CAPEX has been shown as Rs. 13.23 Crore and Rs. 3.10 Crore for FY 2023-24 and FY 2024-25.

PSTCL needs to clarify and correct.

- iii. PSTCL has submitted estimated expenditure of Rs. 70.75 Crore on account of Augmentation/addition of T/f at 220/132/66 KV S/s as per Annexure-VI of the petition but claimed Rs. 123.78 Crore. PSTCL needs to reconcile and submit the correct amount. PSTCL is also directed to explain the reasons of increase in expenditure of augmentations / additions of transformers than planned & approved in 3<sup>rd</sup> MYT Control period CIP Order.
- iv. For schemes submitted at point No. 4 to 6 under new works to be added in 3<sup>rd</sup> MYT Control period, the estimated cost is shown as Rs. 9.52 Crore for each work in Agendas whereas cost claimed in petition is Rs. 17.61 Crore for each work.
- v. PSTCL has claimed Rs. 50 Crore on account of spare transformers whereas PSTCL has submitted a number of schemes even in this petition where transformers are being spared on account of augmentation. PSTCL to review the requirement in view of the transformers being spared from the augmentation works during 3<sup>rd</sup> MYT control period and submit a complete consolidated list of transformers being spared from augmentation works and projected use of those transformers during 3<sup>rd</sup> MYT control period.
- vi. PSTCL to clarify whether Scheme No. 3 and 10 under new works to be added in 3<sup>rd</sup> MYT Control period have been declared as emergent by BoDs of PSTCL.
- vii. PSTCL to submit the requisite DPR of the schemes as per the Regulation 9.8 of PSERC MYT Regulations.

The above information be submitted within two weeks (through hard & soft copy).

The petition shall be taken up for hearing on admission on 24.07.2024 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-  
(Paramjeet Singh)  
Member

Sd/-  
(Viswajeet Khanna)  
Chairperson

Chandigarh  
Dated: **13.05.2024**